

ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE KAPPA-RING

EAMAN EFTEKHARY AND IMAN SETAYESH

ABSTRACT. We show that the rank of the kappa ring $\kappa^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ of the Delign-Mumford compactification of the moduli space of curves in degree d is bounded below by $|P(d, 3g - 2 + n - d)|$ where $P(d, r)$ denotes the set of partitions of the positive integer d into at most r parts.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\epsilon = \pi_{g,n}^1 : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ denote the universal curve over the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ of genus g , n -pointed curves. The psi and kappa classes in the Chow ring of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ are defined as follows [4]. Let $\mathbb{L}_i \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}$ denote the cotangent line bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}$ whose fiber over a given point (which is an $(n+1)$ -pointed genus g curve) is the cotangent space over the i^{th} marked point. The i^{th} psi-class ψ_i over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}$ is then defined by

$$\psi_i = c_1(\mathbb{L}_i) \in A^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}).$$

Correspondingly, the i -th kappa-class κ_i is defined via

$$\kappa_i = \epsilon_*(\psi_{n+1}^{i+1}) \in A^i(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}).$$

The push forwards of the κ and ψ classes from the strata generates the tautological ring $R^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ [2, 3].

The κ , ψ and tautological classes over an open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, and in particular over the smooth part $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ and the moduli $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^c$ of curves of compact type, are defined by restricting the respective classes from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ to the corresponding open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. The kappa ring $\kappa^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ of the moduli space of curves is then the subring of the tautological ring $R^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ generated by the kappa classes $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. It was observed by Pandharipande [5, 6] that if one restricts attention to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^c$, the structure of the κ ring may be completely determined using a combination of combinatorial arguments and localization ideas. Pandharipande shows, consequently, that the rank of the ring $\kappa^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^c)$ in degree d is equal to $|P(d, 2g - 2 + n - d)|$, where $P(d, r)$ denotes the set of partitions of d into at most r parts.

In this short paper, we will use some combinatorial observations to obtain the following lower bound on the rank of $\kappa^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$.

Theorem 1.1. *The rank of the kappa ring $\kappa^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ of the Delign-Mumford compactification of the moduli space of curves in degree d is bounded below by $|P(d, 3g - 2 + n - d)|$.*

The bound is clearly sharp when the genus is zero by the result of [6], since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n} = \mathcal{M}_{0,n}^c$. In fact, associated with any stable weighted graph (see the second section for the definition) one may define a natural cycle in the Chow ring of some moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. Correspondingly, we may define the *combinatorial tautological ring* to be the quotient of the tautological ring obtained by setting trivial the classes which integrate trivially over all such combinatorial cycles. It also makes sense to talk about the combinatorial kappa rings as well. These will be denoted by $R_c^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ and $\kappa_c^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$, respectively. It is then implied from the work of Pandharipande that $\kappa_c^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^c) = \kappa^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^c)$. Since $\kappa_c^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ is naturally a quotient of $\kappa^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$, the rank of the former gives a lower bound on the rank of the later. The more precise statement of our result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *The rank of the combinatorial kappa ring $\kappa_c^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ in degree d is equal to $|P(d, 3g - 2 + n - d)|$.*

We also make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.3. *For any $g \geq 0$ and $n > 0$ we have $\kappa_c^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) = \kappa^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$.*

When the genus g is equal to zero, the conjecture already follows from the result of [5, 6]. However, the authors did not have any success in constructing the necessary relations over the full moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ through localization techniques beyond this case.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Rahul Pandharipande for several helpful discussions.

2. COMBINATORIAL CYCLES AND THE ψ CLASSES

Let us first consider an alternative basis for the kappa ring of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, instead of the kappa classes. Let

$$\pi_{g,n}^k : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

denote the forgetful map which forgets the last k marked points.

Definition 2.1. *For a multi-set $\mathbf{q} = (a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_k)$ of positive integers define*

$$|\mathbf{q}| := k, \quad d(\mathbf{q}) := \sum_{i=1}^k a_i, \quad \&$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{q}) = \psi(a_1, \dots, a_k) := \left(\pi_{g,n}^k \right)_* \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \psi_{n+i}^{a_i+1} \right) \in \kappa^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}).$$

The classes $\psi(\mathbf{q})$, for $\mathbf{q}$ a multi-set corresponding to a partition of $d = d(\mathbf{q})$ in $P(d)$, generate the kappa ring $\kappa^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ in degree d as a module over $\mathbb{Q}$. In fact, note that for any positive integer d , $\kappa_d = \psi(d)$ is the ψ class corresponding to the multi-set consisting of the single element d . In order to describe the full correspondence between κ and ψ classes, let $\mathbf{q} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ be a multi-set. For $\sigma \in S_k$ a permutation of k elements let

$$\sigma = \tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_r,$$

be the canonical cycle decomposition for σ , including the 1-cycles. Let $\sigma(\mathbf{q})_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ denote the sum of the elements of $\mathbf{q}$ which correspond to the i -th cycle τ_i . Define

$$\kappa_{\sigma(\mathbf{q})} := \prod_{i=1}^r \kappa_{\sigma(\mathbf{q})_i}.$$

The following general formula is due to Faber and is discussed in [1].

Lemma 2.2. *For any partition $\mathbf{q} \in P(d)$ as above we have*

$$\psi(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \kappa_{\sigma(\mathbf{q})}.$$

An immediate consequence of the above formula is the following lemma, c.f. lemma 1 in [6].

Lemma 2.3. *The set of classes in $\mathcal{A}^d(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ defined by*

$$\left\{ \psi(\mathbf{q}) \mid \mathbf{q} \in P(d) \right\}, \quad \& \quad \left\{ \kappa(\mathbf{q}) \mid \mathbf{q} \in P(d) \right\}$$

are related by an invertible linear transformation independent of g and n .

Proof. The transformation is give by Faber's formula from lemma 2.2 above. In the partial ordering of $P(d)$ by length the transformation is triangular, with 1's on the diagonal, hence invertible. $\square$

In order to describe the relations, or independence of the generators, in the kappa ring $\kappa^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ it thus suffices to describe the relations among such ψ -classes. Our main tool for proving the independence of the generators in the kappa ring is the use of the combinatorial cycles.

Definition 2.4. *A weighted graph G is a finite graph with a set $V(G)$ of vertices and a set $E(G)$ of edges, and a weight function*

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_G : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}.$$

For $i \in V(G)$, we denote by $d_i = d(i)$ the degree of i . We assume that $\epsilon(i) = (g_i, n_i)$ for non-negative integers g_i and n_i . By a stable weighted graph we mean the choice of a weighted graph G with the property that for

any vertex $i \in V(G)$, $2g_i + n_i + d_i > 2$. If G is a stable weighted graph, we let

$$g(G) := \left(\sum_{i \in V(G)} g_i \right) + |E(G)| - |V(G)| + 1$$

$$n(G) := \sum_{i \in V(G)} n_i.$$

Suppose that G is a stable weighted graph as above. An automorphism ϕ of G consists of a pair of bijective maps $\phi_V : V(G) \rightarrow V(G)$ and $\phi_E : E(G) \rightarrow E(G)$ such that the following are satisfied. For an edge e connecting the vertices $i, j \in V(G)$, $\phi_E(e)$ is an edge connecting $\phi_V(i)$ and $\phi_V(j)$. Furthermore, for any $i \in V(G)$ we have $\epsilon(i) = \epsilon(\phi(i))$. The set of automorphisms of G is denoted by $\text{Aut}(G)$.

Associated with a stable weighted graph G we may construct a cycle in the Chow ring of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(G), n(G)}$ as follows. Associated with a vertex $i \in V(G)$, let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(i)$ denote the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, n_i + d_i}$ where the last d_i marked points are labeled by those edges in $E(G)$ which are adjacent to i . Let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ denote the product

$$\mathcal{C}(G) = \prod_{i \in V(G)} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(i) = \prod_{i \in V(G)} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, n_i + d_i}.$$

Any automorphism ϕ of the stable weighted graph G gives an automorphism of the moduli space $\mathcal{C}(G)$. The group $\text{Aut}(G)$ of automorphisms of G thus acts on $\mathcal{C}(G)$. There is a map ι_G from the product $\mathcal{C}(G)$ to the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(G), n(G)}$, which is defined as follows. Choose an element $(\Sigma_i, \mathbf{z}_i \cup \mathbf{w}_i)_{i \in V(G)}$ of $\mathcal{C}(G)$, where $\mathbf{z}_i$ and $\mathbf{w}_i$ are sets of n_i and d_i marked points respectively. For an edge $e \in E(G)$ connecting $i, j \in V(G)$ glue the marked points in $\mathbf{w}_i$ and $\mathbf{w}_j$ corresponding to e to each other. The result is a stable curve

$$\iota_G \left((\Sigma_i, \mathbf{z}_i \cup \mathbf{w}_i)_{i \in V(G)} \right) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(G), n(G)}.$$

The map ι_G respects the action of $\text{Aut}(G)$, and gives an embedding of $\mathcal{C}(G)/\text{Aut}(G)$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(G), n(G)}$. Thus, a stable weighted graph G determines a cycle

$$(\iota_G)_* [\mathcal{C}(G)] = |\text{Aut}(G)| \cdot (\iota_G)_* \left[\frac{\mathcal{C}(G)}{\text{Aut}(G)} \right] \in \mathcal{A}_d(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(G), n(G)}),$$

where $d = 3g(G) - 3 + n(G) - |E(G)|$. This cycle will be denoted by $[G]$, by slight abuse of notation.

Let us assume that for a stable weighted graph G we have $n = n(G)$ and $g = g(G)$, and that $\psi(\mathbf{q}) = \psi(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is a ψ class. If

$$d(\mathbf{q}) + |E(G)| = 3g - 3 + n$$

we may integrate $\psi(\mathbf{q})$ over $[G] \in \mathcal{A}_{3g-3+n-|\mathbf{q}|}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$. The integral

$$\langle \psi(\mathbf{q}), [G] \rangle = \int_{[G]} \psi(\mathbf{q}) = \int_{(\pi_{g,n}^k)^*[G]} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \psi_{n+j}^{a_j+1} \right) \in \mathbb{Q}$$

may be computed in terms of the integrals of the top degree κ classes over the moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ as follows. The components of $(\pi_{g,n}^k)^*[G]$ are indexed by the functions

$$j : \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \longrightarrow V(G).$$

In fact, $j(p)$ corresponds to the component $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(j(p))$ of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ which contains the image of the $(n+p)$ -th marked point under the forgetful map. Let us denote the space of all such maps by $[k, G]$. For $j \in [k, G]$, let us denote the corresponding component of $(\pi_{g,n}^k)^*[G]$ by $[G, j]$. If $i \in V(G)$ denotes a vertex of G , let us denote by $|i|_j$ the number of elements in $j^{-1}(i)$. Moreover, define

$$\psi_i(\mathbf{q}, j) := \prod_{p \in j^{-1}(i)} \psi_{n+p}^{a_p+1}, \quad \forall j \in [k, G], i \in V(G).$$

We may then write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(\pi_{g,n}^k)^*[G]} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \psi_{n+j}^{a_j+1} \right) &= \sum_{j \in [k, G]} \int_{[G, j]} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \psi_{n+j}^{a_j+1} \right) \\ (1) \quad &= \sum_{j \in [k, G]} \left(\prod_{i \in V(G)} \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, n_i + d_i + |i|_j}]} \psi_i(\mathbf{q}, j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the degree of $\psi_i(\mathbf{q}, j)$ may be computed as

$$\deg(\psi_i(\mathbf{q}, j)) = \sum_{p \in j^{-1}(i)} (a_p + 1) = |i|_j + \sum_{p \in j^{-1}(i)} a_p =: |i|_j + \sigma_i(\mathbf{q}, j).$$

The integrals in the last line of equation 1 are trivial unless the degree of $\psi_i(\mathbf{q}, j)$ matches the dimension of the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, n_i + d_i + |i|_j}$, i.e. if and only if

$$\sigma_i(\mathbf{q}, j) = 3g_i - 3 + n_i + d_i.$$

Moreover, the value computed in equation 1 does not depend on the graph G , and only depends on a modified weight multi-set. Let

$$Q = \left\{ (g, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid 2g + n > 2 \right\}.$$

If we assume $V(G) = \{1, \dots, m\}$, the modified weight multi-set associated with G is the multi-set

$$\theta_G(i) := (g_i, m_i = n_i + d_i), \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq m$$

$$\mathbf{p}_G := \left\{ \theta_G(i) \in Q \mid i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \right\}.$$

The outcome of the above discussion is summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *For any ψ class $\psi(\mathbf{q})$ and any combinatorial cycle $[G] \in \mathcal{A}_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ the value of the integral*

$$\int_{[G]} \psi(\mathbf{q})$$

only depends on the multi-sets $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{p}_G$. Moreover, for any given multi-set $\mathbf{p}$ of the elements of Q of m elements $\{\theta_i = (g_i, m_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ in Q , and any integer $e \geq m - 1$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m m_i \geq 2e$$

there is a corresponding connected stable weighted graph $G = G(\mathbf{p}, e)$ with e edges such that $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_G$.

Proof. Only the last claim requires a proof. Suppose that a multi-set $\mathbf{p} = (g_i, m_i)_{i=1}^m$ is given as above, and that $\sum_{i=1}^m m_i \geq 2e \geq (2m - 2)$. Assume that m_1 is the smallest of all m_i . Let

$$\mathbf{p}' = (g_i, m_i)_{i=2}^m, \quad e' = e - \left\lceil \frac{m_1 + 1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Clearly, $e' \geq m - 2$, and using an induction we may assume that there is a corresponding stable weighted graph G' with e' edges and $\mathbf{p}' = \mathbf{p}_{G'}$. Let the vertex $i \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ have degree d_i in G' and assume that $\epsilon_{G'}(i) = (g_i, n_i)$. Thus we have $m_i = d_i + n_i$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & 2e - 2 \left\lceil \frac{m_1 + 1}{2} \right\rceil = \sum_{i=1}^m m_i - \sum_{i=2}^m n_i - m_1 \geq 2e - \sum_{i=2}^m n_i - m_1 \\ & \Rightarrow \sum_{i=2}^m n_i \geq 2 \left\lceil \frac{m_1 + 1}{2} \right\rceil - m_1. \end{aligned}$$

Let G be the stable weighted graph obtained by adding a vertex 1 to G' , assigning the genus g_1 to 1, and letting $n_1 = 0$. We attach $\lfloor \frac{m_1-1}{2} \rfloor$ edges connecting 1 to itself, and $m_1 - 2 \lceil \frac{m_1+1}{2} \rceil$ edges connecting 1 to vertices corresponding to non-zero values of n_i , $i = 2, \dots, m$. The last inequality in equation 2 implies that this is always possible. The stable weighted graph G will then have the required properties. This completes the argument by induction on the number m of vertices. $\square$

We may then put the computation of equation 1 into a generating function form as follows. For $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ as above, let

$$\langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p} \rangle_{g,n} := \int_{[G(\mathbf{p}, 3g-3-d(\mathbf{q})+n)]} \psi(\mathbf{q}).$$

Denote by $[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}]$ the set of functions from $\{1, \dots, |\mathbf{q}|\}$ to $\{1, \dots, |\mathbf{p}|\}$. Letting $|\mathbf{p}| = m$ we thus have

$$\langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p} \rangle_{g,n} = \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}]} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + |i|_j}]} \psi_i(\mathbf{q}, j) \right).$$

Thus, $\langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p} \rangle_{g,n}$ does not depend on the integers g, n , or the choice of G , as long as $d(\mathbf{q}) \leq 3g - 3 + n$.

For $\theta = (g, n) \in Q$ we introduce a formal variable $\mathbf{y}^\theta$, and for a multi-set $\mathbf{p}$ of such elements we define

$$\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{p}} := \prod_{\theta \in \mathbf{p}} \mathbf{y}^\theta.$$

Furthermore, associated with a positive integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ let $\mathbf{x}^a$ denote a formal variable, and for a multi-set $\mathbf{q}$ of such elements let $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{q}} := \prod_{a \in \mathbf{q}} \mathbf{x}^a$.

For $\mathbf{p}$ as above, and any non-negative integer e (which represents the number of edges in the corresponding graph) let

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathbf{p}) &:= \sum_{i=1}^m (3g_i - 3 + m_i), \quad n(\mathbf{p}, e) := \left(\sum_{i=1}^m m_i \right) - 2e, \\ \& \quad g(\mathbf{p}, e) &:= 1 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^m (g_i - 1) \right) + e. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define the generating function

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{q}}$$

We may then compute the generating function $\mathcal{F}$ from equation 4 using equation 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \sum_{\mathbf{p} = \{(g_i, m_i)_{i=1}^m\}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^k} \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{q}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{p} = \{(g_i, m_i)_{i=1}^m\}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^k} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}]} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + |i|_j}]} \psi_i(\mathbf{q}, j) \right) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{q}} \\ (4) \quad &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{p} = \{(g_i, m_i)_{i=1}^m\} \\ \mathbf{q} = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^k}} \sum_{j \in [\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}]} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + |i|_j}]} \psi_i(\mathbf{q}, j) \mathbf{x}^{(g_i, m_i)} \mathbf{y}^{j^{-1}(i)} \right) \\ &= \left(1 - \sum_{(g,n) \in Q} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}]} \psi(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{x}^{(g,n)} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{q}} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.6. *If we restrict our attention to the case of the curves of genus zero, or equivalently to $Q_0 = \{(0, n) \mid n > 2\}$, the corresponding generating function may be computed more explicitly. In this case, both $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ in equation 4 correspond to ordinary partitions and we may thus compute the generating function. For a partitions $\mathbf{q} = (a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_k > 0)$, let $\mathbf{q}[1]$ denote the partition $(a_1 + 1, \dots, a_k + 1)$ of $d(\mathbf{q}) + k$. We then have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}_0 &:= \sum_{\mathbf{p}=\{(n_i)_{i=1}^m\}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}=\{a_i\}_{i=1}^k} \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{q}} \\
 (5) \quad &= \left(1 - \sum_{n \geq 3} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}]} \psi(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{x}^n \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{q}} \right)^{-1} \\
 &= \left(1 - \mathbf{x}^3 \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{q}: \text{partition}} \binom{d(\mathbf{q}) + |\mathbf{q}|}{\mathbf{q}[1]} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{d(\mathbf{q})} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{q}} \right)^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

3. INDEPENDENCE OF GENERATORS AND LOWER BOUNDS ON THE RANK OF $\kappa^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$

For any pair of multi-sets $\mathbf{p} = (g_i, m_i)_{i=1}^m$ and $\mathbf{q} = (a_p)_{p=1}^k$ as above $\langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p} \rangle$ is non-zero if and only if the partition $\mathbf{q}$ of $d(\mathbf{q})$ is a refinement of the partition $d = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i$, with $b_i = 3g_i - 3 + m_i$. In particular, we may define $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{p})$ to be the multi-set $(b_i)_{i=1}^m$ itself. We then compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad \langle \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{p}), \mathbf{p} \rangle &= \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_{g_i, m_i} \\
 \text{where } \lambda_{g,n} &:= \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}]} \psi(3g - 3 + n).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to check that $\lambda_{g,n}$ is always non-zero. Let $P(d)$ denote the set of all multi-sets $\mathbf{q}$ which correspond to the partitions of a fixed positive integer d . Set

$$e = 3g - 3 + n - d,$$

and let $P(d; g, n)$ denote the subset of $P(d)$ consisting of $\mathbf{q} \in P(d)$ which is of the form $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{p})$ with $n = n(\mathbf{p}, e)$, $d = d(\mathbf{p})$, and $g = g(\mathbf{p}, e)$. For $\mathbf{q} \in P(d; g, n)$ denote one such multi-set $\mathbf{p}$ by $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q})$ (one may have multiple choices for $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q})$). Let us define a partial order on $P(d)$ by setting $\mathbf{q}_1 \triangleleft \mathbf{q}_2$ if $\mathbf{q}_1$ refines $\mathbf{q}_2$. Thus $\langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p} \rangle$ is non-zero only if $\mathbf{q}$ refines $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{p})$, and moreover, we have $\langle \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{p}), \mathbf{p} \rangle \neq 0$. We may now consider the matrix

$$M(d; g, n) := \left(\langle \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \right)_{\substack{\mathbf{q}_1 \in P(d) \\ \mathbf{q}_2 \in P(d; g, n)}}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *The rank $r(d; g, n)$ of the matrix $M(d; g, n)$ is a lower bound for the rank of the kappa ring $\kappa^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ in degree d . Moreover, $r(d; g, n)$ is equal to the number of elements in $P(d; g, n)$.*

Proof. The first claim is trivial since any relation in $\kappa^d(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ corresponds to a vector $\alpha = (\alpha_{\mathbf{q}})_{\mathbf{q} \in P(d)}$, with $\alpha_{\mathbf{q}} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $\mathbf{q} \in P(d)$, such that $\alpha \cdot M(d; g, n) = 0$. The matrix $M(d; g, n)$ is a matrix of full rank. To see this, suppose that $\alpha = (\alpha_{\mathbf{q}})_{\mathbf{q} \in P(d; g, n)}$, with $\alpha_{\mathbf{q}} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $\mathbf{q} \in P(d; g, n)$ is a vector such that $M(d; g, n) \cdot \alpha = 0$. In particular, for any $\mathbf{q}_1 \in P(d; g, n)$ since $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}_1)) = \mathbf{q}_1$ by the above definition, by looking at the row corresponding to $\mathbf{q}_1$ in the relation $M(d; g, n) \cdot \alpha = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2 \in P(d; g, n)} \alpha_{\mathbf{q}_2} \langle \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{q}_2 \in P(d; g, n) \\ \mathbf{q}_1 \triangleleft \mathbf{q}_2}} \alpha_{\mathbf{q}_2} \langle \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \\ &= \alpha_{\mathbf{q}_1} \cdot \langle \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}_1) \rangle + \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{q}_1 \neq \mathbf{q}_2 \in P(d; g, n) \\ \mathbf{q}_1 \triangleleft \mathbf{q}_2}} \alpha_{\mathbf{q}_2} \langle \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{q}_1$ is a maximal element with respect to the partial order, the above relation reads as $\alpha_{\mathbf{q}_1} \cdot \langle \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}_1) \rangle = 0$, implying $\alpha_{\mathbf{q}_1} = 0$. Furthermore, if for all $\mathbf{q}_2$ with $\mathbf{q}_1 \triangleleft \mathbf{q}_2$ and $\mathbf{q}_2 \neq \mathbf{q}_1$ it is known that $\alpha_{\mathbf{q}_2} = 0$, the above equation implies that $\alpha_{\mathbf{q}_1} = 0$. We may thus conclude by induction that $\alpha = 0$, implying that $M(d; g, n)$ is a matrix of full rank. $\square$

Let $\langle \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{3g-3+n} \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}^d$ denote the free $\mathbb{Q}$ module generated by the κ classes in degree d . The matrix $M(d; g, n)$ gives a surjective linear map

$$(7) \quad M(d; g, n) : \left\langle \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{3g-3+n} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{r(d; g, n)},$$

and we have a surjection

$$(8) \quad j_{g,n}^d : \kappa^d(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \hookrightarrow \frac{\left\langle \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{3g-3+n} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}^d}{\text{Ker}(M(d; g, n))}.$$

Definition 3.2. We call a class $\psi \in \mathcal{A}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ combinatorially trivial if for any stable weighted graph G we have

$$\int_{[G]} \psi = 0.$$

We denote the quotients of $\mathcal{A}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ and $\kappa^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ by combinatorially trivial tautological classes by $\mathcal{A}_c^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ and $\kappa_c^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ respectively.

We may summarize our considerations in the following proposition.

Theorem 3.3. The induced map

$$j_{g,n}^d : \kappa_c^d(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \rightarrow \frac{\left\langle \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{3g-3+n} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}^d}{\text{Ker}(M(d; g, n))}$$

is an isomorphism.

In the remainder of this section we would like to describe the number $r(d; g, n)$ of the elements in $P(d; g, n)$ in more details.

Lemma 3.4. *If $\mathbf{q} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is an element of $P(d; g, n)$ then*

$$k \leq 3g - 2 + n - d.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{p})$ for $\mathbf{p} = (g_i, m_i)_{i=1}^m$. We may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= 3g_i - 3 + m_i, & \forall \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, & \quad \& \\ g_i &= m_i - 3 = 0, & \forall \quad k < i \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^k (2g_i - 2 + m_i) = 2g - 2 + n + (k - m) \leq 2g - 2 + n, \\ (ii) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^k (2g_i - 3 + m_i) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (3g_i - 3 + m_i) \right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^k g_i \right) \geq d - g \\ & (i) - (ii) \Rightarrow k \leq 3g - 2 + n - d. \end{aligned}$$

□

The above lemma implies that $P(d; g, n) \subset P(d, 3g - 2 + n - d)$, where $P(d, r)$ denotes the set of partitions of d into at most r summands. We will denote the number of partitions in $P(d, r)$ by $p(d, r)$.

Proposition 3.5. *For $d \leq n + 3g - 3$ we have $P(d; g, n) = P(d, 3g - 2 + n - d)$.*

Proof. Let us first assume that $d \leq n + 2g - 2$, and that a partition

$$\mathbf{q} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in P(d, 3g - 2 + n - d)$$

of d with $k \leq 3g - 2 + n - d$ terms is given. Define

$$\begin{aligned} r &:= \min\{g, k\}, & s &:= k - r, & t &:= (2g - 2 + n - d) - s \\ m &:= r + s + t = (2g - 2 + n - d) + \min\{g, k\} \end{aligned}$$

$$g_i := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r \\ 0 & \text{if } r < i \leq m \end{cases}, \quad \& \quad m_i := \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r \\ a_i + 3 & \text{if } r < i \leq k \\ 3 & \text{if } k < i \leq m \end{cases},$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{q}} := ((g_i, m_i) \mid i \in \{1, \dots, m\}).$$

The assumptions on d and k imply that $r, s, t \geq 0$. It is then an easy computation that $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{q}}) = \mathbf{q}$. Thus, $\mathbf{q} \in P(d; g, n)$ and we are done.

Thus it suffices to prove the proposition for $d = 2g - 2 + n + r$, where

$0 < r < g$. Furthermore, by lemma 3.4 it suffices to show that for d as above we have

$$P(d, g - r) \subset P(d; g, n).$$

Let us assume that $\mathbf{q} = (a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_k > 0)$ is an element of $P(d, g - r)$, i.e. $k \leq g - r$. We first claim that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \left\lfloor \frac{a_i}{3} \right\rfloor \geq r.$$

In fact, if the above inequality is not satisfied we will have

$$\begin{aligned} r - 1 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k \left\lfloor \frac{a_i}{3} \right\rfloor \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i - 2}{3} = \frac{d - 2k}{3} \\ &\geq \frac{(2g - 2 + n + r) - 2(g - r)}{3} = \frac{3r - 2}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction proves the claim.

Choose the integers $0 \leq \epsilon_i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a_i}{3} \right\rfloor$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ so that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \left\lfloor \frac{a_i}{3} \right\rfloor \right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i \right) = r.$$

We then set

$$\begin{aligned} g_i &:= \left\lfloor \frac{a_i}{3} \right\rfloor + 1 - \epsilon_i, \quad \& \\ m_i &:= a_i - 3 \left\lfloor \frac{a_i}{3} \right\rfloor + 3\epsilon_i, \\ \Rightarrow \quad 3(g_i - 1) + m_i &= a_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(g_i, m_i) \in Q$, and that $\mathbf{p} = (g_i, m_i)_{i=1}^k$ is a multi-set with $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{p})$. For $e = (3g - 3 + n) - d = g - 1 - r$ we have $n = n(\mathbf{p}, e)$ and $g = g(\mathbf{p}, e)$. Thus $\mathbf{q} \in P(d; g, n)$, and the proof is complete. $\square$

Theorem 3.3 implies that the rank of $\kappa^d(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ is bigger than or equal to $p(d, 3g - 2 + n - d)$. Moreover, we have the following proposition, which is theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.6. *The rank of $t \kappa_c^d(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ is equal to*

$$p(d, 3g - 2 + n - d) = |P(d, 3g - 2 + n - d)|.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, Combinatorial and algebro-geometric cohomology classes on the moduli space of curves, *J. Alg. Geom.* 5 (1996), 705–749.
- [2] C. Faber, A conjectural description of the tautological ring of the moduli space of curves, *Moduli of curves and abelian varieties*, 109–129, Aspects Math., Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1999.
- [3] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande, Construction of nontautological classes on moduli space of curves, *Michigan Math J.* 51 (2003), 93–109.
- [4] D. Mumford, Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves, *Arithmetic and Geometry*, (M. Artin and J. Tate, eds.), Part II, Birkhäuser, 1983, 271–328.
- [5] R. Pandharipande, The kappa ring of the moduli of curves of compact type I, *preprint, available at* arXiv:0906.2657.
- [6] R. Pandharipande, The kappa ring of the moduli of curves of compact type II, *preprint, available at* arXiv:0906.2658.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCE
(IPM), P. O. BOX 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN
E-mail address: eaman@ipm.ir

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCE
(IPM), P. O. BOX 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN
E-mail address: iman.setayesh@gmail.com